

To: CN=Eugenia Naranjo/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Alice Yeh/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Patricia
Hick/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sarah
Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov[]; N=Patricia
Hick/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Sarah
Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov[]; N=Sarah
Flanagan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov[];
aughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov[]
Bcc: []
From: CN=Ray Basso/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 1/29/2013 9:03:38 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

we should discuss this briefly tomorrow before we send anything out.

From: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US
To: Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray
Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 03:59 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

I don't believe we sent them anything on the EMBM peer review. Right Alice?

-----Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA wrote: -----

=====
To: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
From: Sarah Flanagan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/29/2013 03:03PM
Cc: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray
Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

=====
What did we do previously?

From: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US
To: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov, Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray
Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephanie@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 01:12 PM
Subject: Re: Peer review charge to CPG?

Are we going to send them the reports as well?

From: Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US
To: Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Flanagan.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Patricia Hick/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Vaughn.Stephannie@epamail.epa.gov,
Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov
Date: 01/29/2013 01:00 PM
Subject: Peer review charge to CPG?

Attached is the peer review charge that was sent to our peer reviewers today. I also sent it to CSTAG, as requested. I believe we wanted to send it to the CPG too, as a courtesy - do you see anything in here that can't be sent? If not, I will have LBG remove the confidentiality header and re-send me the file.

We should probably send this to our Partner Agencies, to let them know that the peer review has started.
[attachment "2013-01-29 LPR FFS Modeling Peer Review Charge.pdf" deleted by Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US]